



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,756	01/28/2005	Michael Richard Richardson	19940 (XA2020)	9343
23389	7590	10/06/2009	EXAMINER	
SCULLY SCOTT MURPHY & PRESSER, PC			PEREZ, JAMES M	
400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA				
SUITE 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
GARDEN CITY, NY 11530			2611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/06/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/522,756	RICHARDSON, MICHAEL RICHARD
	Examiner	Art Unit
	JAMES M. PEREZ	2611

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 June 2009.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-11 and 27 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 27 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 28 January 2005 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ . |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ . |

Detailed Action

This action is responsive to the amendment filed on 6/8/2009.

Currently, claims 1, 2-11, and 27 are pending.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 3-11 being rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 have been fully considered and are persuasive, therefore said 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection has been withdrawn.
2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 3-11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.
2. Claims 1 and 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhang (USPN 6,369,758) in view of ETSI EN 300 744 V1.4.1 (2001-01) (herein referenced as 'ETSI') in further view of Lipsanen et al. (US 2004/0242203).

With regards to claim 1, Zhang teaches a wireless communication system comprising at least one antenna for detecting a received signal (fig. 1: antennas) and a signal

processor for processing the received signal detected by the at least one antenna (fig. 1: digital processing elements after A/D element group 18), a method of determining the amount of signal power and interference power in a received signal, the received signal having a wanted signal providing said signal power (col. 5, lines 45-55: signal power) and a plurality of interfering signals providing said interference power (col. 5, lines 45-55: interference power), the method comprising the steps of:

- a) selecting a plurality (col. 10, lines 34-37) of first known structures (fig. 1: element TRS II: col. 4, lines 30-41) in the wanted signal (signal sent by a transmitter which is intended for the disclosed receiving unit);
- b) processing the received signal in accordance with said plurality of first known structures to derive a set of amplitude values (col. 10, lines 29-37: correlation produces amplitude values, and a high value (above a threshold) has a high amplitude) corresponding to the said first known structures (correlation of the pseudo random training symbols); and
- c) using the set of amplitude values to determine both a signal power level and a interference power level (col. 5, lines 45-55: signal power and interference power) for at least a portion of the received signal (col. 5, lines 45-55: signal power and interference power).

Zhang does not explicitly teach two Limitations: Limitation 1) the wanted signal being encoded such that there is a channel structure including a data channel and a broadcast channel, step a) including said plurality of first known structure being identified using a further known structure within the broadcast channel to provide a

signal having known periods with defined properties; and Limitation 2) the method being implemented in a cellular telephone system.

Limitation 1)

ETSI teaches the wanted signal being encoded such that there is a channel structure including a data channel (page 24: section 4.4: data) and a broadcast channel (page 44: section 4.4: pilot and TPS carriers), and a plurality of first known structure being identified using a further known structure within the broadcast channel to provide a signal having known periods with defined properties (page 24: section 4.4: pilot and TPS carriers; page 29: section 4.6; and page 30: Table 9).

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would clearly understand that synchronization bits would be used in order to determine the location of other structures within the preamble (page 30: Table 9), wherein the preamble is obviously within the wanted signal.

Therefore in view of KSR, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the Zhang with the OFDM preamble standard of ETSI in order to yield predictable results and benefits such as location of other wanted structures within the wanted signal based on the location of the synchronization bits.

Limitation 2)

Lipsanen teaches DVB-T receiver in a cellular telephone system (fig. 2: paragraph 45).

Therefore it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the known OFDM communication system of Zhang in view of ESTI

with the known teachings of Lipsanen in order to yield the predictable results and benefits such as implementing DVB-T capable cellular telephone and/or system (paragraphs 2-3 and 7).

With regards to claim 3, ETSI EN 300 744 V1.4.1 (2001-01) teaches a method wherein step a) includes identifying locations of the further known structure (identifying the location of the beginning of the preamble using the initialization bit) within the wanted signal and using the identified locations to derive the locations of said plurality of first known structures (page 30: Table 9: the synchronization bits (S17-S22) have a fixed location relationship with the initialization bit (S0)).

With regards to claim 4, ETSI EN 300 744 V1.4.1 (2001-01) teaches a method according to claim 2, where in said plurality of first known structures comprises Frequency Correction Bursts (page 30: Table 9: the synchronization bits (S17-S22) are used to synchronize the wanted received signal with the receiver, therefore it is a burst of data which corrects (realigns) the frequency of the received signal in the receiver).

With regards to claim 5, ETSI EN 300 744 V1.4.1 (2001-01) further teaches a method according to claim 3, wherein said further known structure comprises sync bursts (the synchronization bits are within the preamble therefore it is a sync burst, note that burst is taken to mean a short data length).

With regards to claim 6, Zhang further teaches a method according to claim 2, wherein the step of identifying said plurality of first known structures includes using pointers selected by said further known structure (col. 4, lines 19-28: a timing pointer is used to point to the beginning of each symbol).

3. Claims 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhang USPN 6369758 in view of ETSI EN 300 744 V1.4.1 (2001-01) with Lipsanen et al. (US 2004/0242203) as applied to claims 1 and 6, further in view of Defreese (USPN 6493876).

With regards to claim 7, Zhang in view of ETSI EN 300 744 V1.4.1 (2001-01) in further view of Lipsanen teach limitations of claim 6. Zhang in view of ETSI EN 300 744 V1.4.1 (2001-01) in further view of Lipsanen remains silent with respect to disclosing a method wherein said pointers are stored in a look-up table, and step a) includes using said pointers to select said plurality of first known structures in said received signal.

Defreese teaches a method wherein said pointers are stored in a look-up table (Defreese: disclosed claims 16 and 17), and step a) includes using said pointers to select said plurality of first known structures in said received signal (Defreese: disclosed claims 16 and 17: mapping television channel to a television service identifier).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Zhang in view of ETSI EN 300 744 V1.4.1 (2001-01) in further view of Lipsanen with the teachings disclosed in Defreese in order to increase

profits by providing an improved full service television system capable of sustaining two-way communications between a cable service provider and a cable service subscriber, deliver services such as World Wide Web browsing, E-mail, and deliver advanced television services such as a comprehensive channel navigator.

With regards to claim 8, Zhang further teaches a method according to claim 1, wherein step b) comprises correlating (col. 10, lines 29-37: correlation produces amplitude values, and a high value (above a threshold) has a high amplitude) the received signal with said selected plurality of first known structures (correlation of the pseudo random training symbols) to derive said amplitude values.

With regards to claim 9, Zhang further teaches a method according to claim 1, wherein step c) comprises determining mean (col. 17, lines 9-25: mean signal power) and variance (Zhang: disclosed claim 4) values for said amplitude values (the disclosed power and variance are inherently calculated based on the received training symbols, and the training symbols inherently have a non-zero amplitude otherwise the training symbol would not be detected).

With regards to claim 10, Zhang further teaches a method according to claim 1, wherein step c) further comprises using calibration factors to produce an absolute power value for the wanted signal (Zhang: col. 7, lines 18-70: equation 11: signal power).

With regards to claim 11, Zhang further teaches a method according to claim 1, wherein step c) further comprises using said calibration factors to produce an absolute power value for the interfering signals (col. 5, lines 25-70: equation 4).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claim 27 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAMES M. PEREZ whose telephone number is (571)270-3231. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday: 9am to 5pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shuwang Liu can be reached on 571-272-3036. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/James M Perez/
Examiner, Art Unit 2611
10/1/2009
/Shuwang Liu/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2611